

# Draft

## The complexity of the 2-PGMC, 2-PGMP and 2-PGMT problems for complete multipartite graphs

Zemin Jin<sup>1</sup>, Mikio Kano<sup>2</sup>, Xueliang Li<sup>1,3</sup> and Bing Wei<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Combinatorics and LPMC, Nankai University  
Tianjin, China; Email: zeminjin@eyou.com, x.li@eyou.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Computer and Information Science, Ibaraki  
University, Hitachi, Japan; Email: kano@cis.ibaraki.ac.jp

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Mississippi, Oxford  
USA; Email: bwei@olemiss.edu

### Abstract

In this paper we consider the problem of partitioning complete multipartite graphs with edge-colored by 2 colors into minimum number of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees, cycles and paths, respectively. For general graphs the three problems are simply addressed by the 2-PGMT, 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems, respectively. We show that for complete and complete bipartite graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are *NP*-complete, however for all complete multipartite graphs the 2-PGMT problem can be solved in polynomial time. Since a complete graph can be viewed as a complete multipartite graph such that every partite of it is a single vertex, the former implies that for complete multipartite graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are *NP*-complete. This also implies that for general graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are *NP*-complete, but whether the 2-PGMT problem is *NP*-complete is still unknown. This addresses a question proposed by the authors in a previous paper.

**Keywords:** partition; edge-colored; multipartite; monochromatic; *NP*-complete; polynomial time.

**AMS subject classification (2000):** 68R10, 05C70, 90C27, 68Q17.

# 1 Introduction

Many combinatorial problems can be described as finding a partition of the vertex set of a given graph into subsets satisfying certain properties. Many graph partition problems and their corresponding computational complexity problems have been well studied [1, 2, 5, 6, 11], most of which are shown to be  $NP$ -complete. More general partition problems can be found in MacGillivray and Yu [15] and Feder et al [4]. A list of graph partition problems can be found in the book [6].

Some researchers also focused their consideration on the graph partition problems in edge-colored graphs [3, 8, 9, 10, 14]. For example, in [3] Erdős et al showed that if the edges of a complete graph are colored by  $r$  colors, then the vertex set of the complete graph can be covered by at most  $cr \log r$  vertex disjoint monochromatic cycles, where  $c$  is a constant. The authors of [3, 9, 10, 14] focused their consideration on the problem of determining the minimum number  $k$  such that whenever the edges of  $G$  are colored by at most  $r$  colors, the vertex set of  $G$  can be covered by at most  $k$  vertex disjoint monochromatic trees or cycles. Jin and Li [12] studied the following optimal problems: Given an edge-colored graph  $G$ , find the minimum number of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees, cycles and paths, respectively, which cover the vertex set of  $G$ . Note that here a single vertex is also regarded as a monochromatic tree, path or cycle. For convenience, we simply call the three problems the PGMT, PGMC and PGMP problem, respectively. They showed that in general all the three problems are  $NP$ -complete and there does not exist constant factor approximation algorithm for any of the three problems unless  $P = NP$ .

Note that the PGMT problem looks like the problem of partitioning a graph into induced forests [6]. But actually it is not the case. The following facts are easily seen. If  $G$  is colored properly, i.e., adjacent edges receive different colors, both the PGMT and the PGMP problems are equivalent to the edge cover problem, which can be solved in polynomial time by graph matching algorithm [6]. If  $G$  is colored with one color, i.e., only one color is presented at each vertex, the PGMT problem is equivalent to the spanning tree problem, and can be solved in polynomial time, while the PGMC and PGMP problem is equivalent to the Hamiltonian cycle and Hamiltonian path problem, respectively, and hence both of which are  $NP$ -complete. Jin and Li [12] asked the following question: Does the PGMT (PGMC, or PGMP) problem remain to be  $NP$ -complete when the edges of  $G$  are colored by only 2 colors? For convenience, we simply denote by 2-PGMT, 2-PGMP and 2-PGMC, respectively, the PGMT, PGMP and PGMC problem if the edges of  $G$  are colored by 2 colors. Jin and Li [13] showed that, even if at most 2 colors are presented at each vertex (maybe more than 2 colors are used), all

the PGMT, PGMC and PGMP problems remain to be  $NP$ -complete.

In this paper we consider the complexity of the three problems for complete multipartite graphs with edge-colored by 2 colors, and show that for complete and complete bipartite graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are  $NP$ -complete, however for all complete multipartite graphs the 2-PGMT problem can be solved in polynomial time. Since a complete graph can be viewed as a complete multipartite graph such that every partite of it is a single vertex, the former implies that for complete multipartite graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are  $NP$ -complete. This also implies that for general graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are  $NP$ -complete, but whether the 2-PGMT problem is  $NP$ -complete is still unknown. This addresses a question in [12].

## 2 Complete graphs with edge-colored by 2 colors

At first we focus on studying the 2-PGMC problem for complete graphs. For general graphs the corresponding decision version of the 2-PGMC problem is defined formally as follows:

### THE 2-PGMC PROBLEM

**INSTANCE:** A graph  $G$  with edge-colored by 2 colors, and a positive integer  $k$ .

**QUESTION:** Are there  $k$  or less vertex disjoint monochromatic cycles, which cover the vertex set of the graph  $G$ ?

The corresponding decision versions of the 2-PGMT and 2-PGMP problems can be defined similarly. In the sequel we show that even for  $k = 1$ , both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems for complete and complete bipartite graphs are  $NP$ -complete.

For the 2-PGMT problem, let the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$  be colored by 2 colors, say red and blue. Since for any graph  $G$ , at least one of  $G$  and its complement graph  $\overline{G}$  must be connected. Suppose that the set of all red edges spans the graph  $G$ , then the set of all blue edges spans the graph  $\overline{G}$ . So we have a monochromatic spanning tree in  $K_n$ . This implies that the 2-PGMT problem for complete graphs can be solved in polynomial time. However, for the other two problems we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.1** *The 2-PGMC problem is  $NP$ -complete for complete graphs.*

*Proof.* The problem is clearly in  $NP$ , since a nondeterministic algorithm needs only to guess a set of cycles and check in polynomial time that the cycles in the set are vertex disjoint monochromatic ones and cover the vertex set of the given graph.

It is sufficient to show that the problem is NP-complete for  $k = 1$ . We transform the Hamiltonian path problem to the 2-PGMC problem for complete graph. Let an arbitrary instance of the Hamiltonian path problem be given by a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices. Here we construct a complete graph  $K_{n+1}$  with edge-colored by 2 colors such that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete graph  $K_{n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle.

The complete graph  $K_{n+1}$  is constructed as follows: Let  $v$  be an additional vertex, and let  $G^* = G \vee H$ , where  $H$  consists of the single vertex  $v$ . Let  $K_{n+1} = G^* \cup \overline{G^*}$ , and let every element of  $E(G^*)$  be colored by red, while every element of  $E(\overline{G^*})$  be colored by blue. We claim that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete graph  $K_{n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle.

If  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path, denoted by  $P = u_1u_2 \cdots u_n$ , then  $C = vu_1u_2 \cdots u_nv$  is a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle in  $K_{n+1}$ . Suppose that  $K_{n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle, denoted by  $C = vu_1u_2 \cdots u_nv$ . Since every edge incident to  $v$  is colored by red, every edge on  $C$  must appear in the graph  $G$ . This implies that  $u_1u_2 \cdots u_n$  is a Hamiltonian path of  $G$ . The proof is complete. ■

**Theorem 2.2** *The 2-PGMP problem is NP-complete for complete graphs.*

*Proof.* The problem is clearly in  $NP$ , since a nondeterministic algorithm needs only to guess a set of paths and check in polynomial time that the paths in the set are vertex disjoint monochromatic ones and cover the vertex set of the given graph.

It is sufficient to show that the problem is NP-complete for  $k = 1$ . Here we also transform the Hamiltonian path problem to the 2-PGMP problem for complete graphs. Let an arbitrary instance of the Hamiltonian path problem be given by a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices. Here we construct a complete graph  $K_{2n+1}$  with edge-colored by 2 colors such that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete graph  $K_{2n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path.

The complete graph  $K_{2n+1}$  is constructed as follows: Let  $v$  be an additional vertex. Take a disjoint copy  $G'$  of  $G$ . Let  $G^* = (G \cup G') \vee H$ , where

$H$  consists of the single vertex  $v$ . Let  $K_{2n+1} = G^* \cup \overline{G^*}$ , and let every element of  $E(G^*)$  be colored by red, while every element of  $E(\overline{G^*})$  be colored by blue. We claim that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete graph  $K_{2n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path.

If  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path, denoted by  $P = u_1u_2 \cdots u_n$ , then it is easy to see that  $K_{2n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path. Suppose that  $K_{2n+1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path, denoted by  $Q = x_1x_2 \cdots x_nx_{n+1}x_{n+2} \cdots x_{2n+1}$ . Since every edge incident to  $v$  is colored by red, and every edge connecting vertices of  $G$  and  $G'$  is colored by blue, the first or last  $n$  vertices of  $Q$  must appear in the graph  $G$ . This implies that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path. This completes the proof. ■

Since a complete graph can be viewed as a complete multipartite graph such that every partite of it is a single vertex, the above two results imply that for complete multipartite graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are NP-complete. This also implies that for general graphs, both 2-PGMC and 2-PGMP problems are NP-complete, but whether the 2-PGMT problem is NP-complete is still unknown. This addresses a question in [12].

### 3 Complete bipartite graphs with edge-colored by 2 colors

In this section we consider the three problems for complete multipartite graphs with edge-colored by 2 colors. First we deal with the complete bipartite case. Although a complete graph can be viewed as a multipartite graph, in most cases it is not bipartite. We know from [7] that the Hamiltonian path and Hamiltonian cycle problems are NP-complete for bipartite graphs. Actually, this can be easily seen from the fact that by subdividing every edge of a graph exactly once, the original graph has the same Hamiltonian property as the resultant bipartite graph. From this fact, we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.1** *The 2-PGMC problem is NP-complete for complete bipartite graphs.*

*Proof.* The problem is clearly in *NP*, since a nondeterministic algorithm needs only to guess a set of cycles and check in polynomial time that the cycles in the set are vertex disjoint monochromatic ones and cover the vertex set of the given graph.

It is sufficient to show that the problem is NP-complete for  $k = 1$ . Here we transform the Hamiltonian path problem for bipartite graph to the 2-PGMP problem for complete bipartite graphs. Let an arbitrary instance of

the Hamiltonian path problem for bipartite graphs be given by a bipartite graph  $G = G(U, V)$ , where  $|U| + 1 = |V| = n$ . Here we construct a complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  with edge-colored by 2 colors such that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle.

The complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  is constructed as follows: Let  $u$  be an additional vertex, and let  $G^*$  be a graph on the vertex set  $V(G) \cup \{u\}$  with edge set  $E(G^*) = E(G) \cup \{uv : v \in V\}$ . Denote by  $\overline{G^*}$  the graph on the vertex set  $V(G) \cup \{u\}$  with edge set  $E(\overline{G^*}) = \{xy \notin E(G) : x \in U, y \in V\}$ . Let  $K_{n,n} = G^* \cup \overline{G^*}$ , and color every element in  $E(G^*)$  by red, while color every element in  $E(\overline{G^*})$  by blue. We claim that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle.

If  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path, denoted by  $P = xu_1 \cdots y$ ,  $x, y \in V$ , then  $C = uxu_1 \cdots yu$  is a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle in  $K_{n,n}$ . Suppose that  $K_{n,n}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian cycle, denoted by  $C = uxu_1 \cdots yu$ . Since every edge incident to  $u$  is colored by red, every edge on  $C$  must appear in the graph  $G$ . This implies that  $xu_1 \cdots y$  is a Hamiltonian path of  $G$ . The proof is complete. ■

**Theorem 3.2** *The 2-PGMP problem is NP-complete for complete bipartite graphs.*

*Proof.* The problem is clearly in *NP*, since a nondeterministic algorithm needs only to guess a set of paths and check in polynomial time that the paths in the set are vertex disjoint monochromatic ones and cover the vertex set of the given graph.

It is sufficient to show that the problem is NP-complete for  $k = 1$ . Here we also transform the Hamiltonian path problem for bipartite graphs to the 2-PGMP problem for complete bipartite graphs. Let an arbitrary instance of the Hamiltonian path problem for bipartite graphs be given by a bipartite graph  $G = G(U, V)$ ,  $|U| + 1 = |V| = n$ . Here we construct a complete bipartite graph  $K_{2n,2n-1}$  with edge-colored by 2 colors such that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete bipartite graph  $K_{2n,2n-1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path.

The complete bipartite graph  $K_{2n,2n-1}$  is constructed as follows: Let  $u$  be an additional vertex. Take a disjoint copy  $G' = G'(U', V')$  of  $G$ . Let  $G^*$  be the graph on the vertex set  $V(G) \cup V(G') \cup \{u\}$  with edge set  $E(G^*) = E(G) \cup E(G') \cup \{ux : x \in V \cup V'\}$ . Denote by  $\overline{G^*}$  the graph on the vertex set  $V(G) \cup V(G') \cup \{u\}$  with edge set  $E(\overline{G^*}) = \{xy \notin E(G') \cup E(G) :$

$x \in U \cup U', y \in V \cup V'\}$ . Let  $K_{2n,2n-1} = G^* \cup \overline{\overline{G^*}}$ , and color every element in  $E(G^*)$  by red, while color every element in  $E(\overline{\overline{G^*}})$  by blue. We claim that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if the constructed complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path.

If  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path, denoted by  $P = x \cdots y$ , then it is easy to see that  $K_{2n,2n-1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path. Suppose that  $K_{2n,2n-1}$  contains a monochromatic Hamiltonian path, denoted by  $Q = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{2n-1} x_{2n} x_{2n+1} \cdots x_{4n-1}$ . Since every edge incident to  $u$  is colored by red and every edge connecting vertices of  $G$  and  $G'$  is colored by blue, the first or last  $2n - 1$  vertices of  $Q$  must appear in the graph  $G$ . This implies that  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian path. The proof is complete. ■

The authors of [14] determined the tree partition number for complete multipartite graphs with edge-colored by 2 colors, where the tree partition number of a graph  $G$  with edge-colored by  $r$  colors is defined to be the minimum number  $k$  such that whenever the edges of  $G$  are colored with  $r$  colors, the vertex set of  $G$  can be covered by at most  $k$  vertex disjoint monochromatic trees. The next result shows that, given a complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  with edge-colored by 2 colors, we can find the minimum number of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees to cover the vertex set of  $K_{m,n}$  in polynomial time.

**Theorem 3.3** *The 2-PGMT problem can be solved in polynomial time for complete bipartite graphs.*

*Proof.* Let  $K_{m,n} = K(M, N)$  be a complete bipartite graph with edge-colored by red and blue colors. Let  $R$  denote the spanning subgraph of  $K_{m,n}$  with edge set equal to the set of all red edges and  $B$  similarly the spanning subgraph of  $K_{m,n}$  with edge set equal to the set all blue edges. We distinguish the following cases.

**Case 1** One of  $R$  and  $B$  is connected. Then we have a monochromatic spanning tree, and so we are done.

**Case 2** Both  $R$  and  $B$  are disconnected. Then we need at least two vertex disjoint monochromatic trees to cover the vertex set of  $K_{m,n}$ . We distinguish the following subcases.

**Subcase 2.1** One of  $R$  and  $B$  contains at least two components such that each of them contains at least one edge. Then, since both  $R$  and  $B$  have at least two components, we can conclude that both  $R$  and  $B$  have exactly two such components, say  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , respectively; for otherwise, if one has more than two such components, the other must be connected, a contradiction. Moreover, we can deduce that  $R_1 = K(M_1, N_1)$  and

$R_2 = K(M_2, N_2)$  for some partition of  $M = M_1 \cup M_2$  and  $N = N_1 \cup N_2$ , respectively, and  $B_1 = K(M_1, N_2)$ ,  $B_2 = K(M_2, N_1)$ . So, the vertex set of  $K_{m,n}$  can be covered by two vertex disjoint monochromatic red or blue trees.

**Subcase 2.2** Both  $R$  and  $B$  contain a unique component such that it contains at least one edge, denoted by  $R_0$  and  $B_0$ , respectively. Then, by assumption  $R_0$  must totally cover one of the sets  $M$  or  $N$ , and the same is true for  $B_0$ . If  $R_0$  totally covers  $M$  ( $N$ ) but  $B_0$  totally covers the other  $N$  ( $M$ ), then, since both  $R$  and  $B$  contain two components,  $R_0$  cannot totally cover the set  $N$  ( $M$ ) while  $B_0$  cannot totally cover the set  $M$  ( $N$ ), say that  $N_1 \subset N$  ( $M_1 \subset M$ ) is not covered by  $R_0$  while  $M_1 \subset M$  ( $N_1 \subset N$ ) is not covered by  $B_0$ . This implies that all the edges between  $N_1$  and  $M_1$  cannot receive any of the 2 colors, a contradiction and hence this case cannot happen. So, we assume that both  $R_0$  and  $B_0$  totally cover one common set of  $M$  and  $N$ , say  $M$ . This implies that for any vertex  $x \in M$ , there are both red and blue edges between  $x$  and  $N$ . Denote by  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  the sets of vertices not covered by  $R_0$  and  $B_0$ , respectively. Then  $N \supset N_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $N \supset N_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ , and  $N_0 = N - N_1 - N_2$  is covered by both  $R_0$  and  $B_0$ . It is easy to see that every vertex of  $N_1$  is incident only to blue edges, while every vertex of  $N_2$  is incident only to red edges. If there is a proper nonempty subset  $M^*$  of  $M$  that is not a cutset for  $R_0$  or  $B_0$ , say  $R_0$ , then it is easy to see that in  $R_0 - M^*$  and  $B_0[M^* \cup N_1]$  we can find a monochromatic red and a monochromatic blue tree, respectively, to partition the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ . Otherwise, every proper nonempty subset of  $M$  is a cutset for both  $R_0$  and  $B_0$ . Especially, every vertex  $x \in M$  is a cut vertex for both  $R_0$  and  $B_0$ . Since every vertex in  $N_2$  is connected to every vertex in  $M$  by a red edge, and every vertex in  $N_1$  is connected to every vertex in  $M$  by a blue edge, we can conclude that for every vertex  $x$  in  $M$ , there exists at least one neighbor of  $x$  with degree one in  $R_0$  and  $B_0$ , respectively. So, for every vertex  $x \in M$ , every component of  $R_0 - x$  (or  $B_0 - x$ ) other than the component containing some vertices of  $N_2$  (or  $N_1$ ) must be a single vertex. We can find a matching  $\Pi$  between  $M$  and  $N_0$  saturated  $M$  such that the end-vertex in  $N_0$  of every edge of  $\Pi$  is of degree one in  $R_0$ . The same is true for  $B_0$ . Actually, our next analysis has nothing to do with whether there is a proper nonempty subset  $M^*$  of  $M$  such that  $M^*$  is not a cutset for  $R_0$  or  $B_0$ . However, for seeing the structure clearly, we prefer to keeping the above analysis.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size, which cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ . If there is a monochromatic tree in  $\mathcal{P}$  containing all the vertices of  $M$ , then because of the minimality of  $\mathcal{P}$  this tree must cover and only covers one of the sets  $N_1$  or  $N_2$ . Otherwise, there are two monochromatic trees in  $\mathcal{P}$ , one red and the other blue, then because of the minimality of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $N_2$  must be covered by the red tree, and  $N_1$  must



be covered by the blue tree. So, in any case we know that  $\mathcal{P}$  must satisfy exactly one of the following properties:

- (a) Only one of the sets  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is covered by a monochromatic tree, and each vertex of the other set forms a tree in  $\mathcal{P}$ . In this case,  $M$  is totally covered by the monochromatic tree.
- (b) Both  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is respectively covered by a monochromatic tree in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

In order to find a set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size to cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ , we first try to find two sets of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size, which respectively satisfies property (a) and (b). Clearly, among these two sets, the one with minimum size is, what we want, the set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size which cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ . Since the set with minimum size satisfying property (a) is trivial, in the following we focus on finding the set with minimum size satisfying property (b).

First, we introduce some notations. For every vertex  $x \in N_0$ , let  $\Gamma_r(x) = \{y \in M : xy \text{ is red}\}$ , called the red neighborhood of  $x$ . We define an equivalent relation among the vertices of the set  $N_0$  as follows: Two vertices  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of  $N_0$  is equivalent if and only if  $\Gamma_r(x_1) = \Gamma_r(x_2)$ . So, according to the red neighborhoods we can partition  $N_0$  into a number of equivalent classes  $N_{0i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ . Then, we set up a one to one correspondence between the set of classes  $N_{0i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , and the set of nonempty subsets  $\Gamma_r(x_{0i})$  of  $M$ , where  $x_{0i}$  is a representative of the class  $N_{0i}$ . Clearly,  $t \leq \min\{n - |N_1| - |N_2|, 2^m - 1\}$ . We distinguish the following subsubcases:

**Subsubcase 2.2.1** If there is a proper nonempty subset  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $M' \neq N_{0i}$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , then take a blue tree  $T_b$  such that  $V(T_b) \cap M = M_b = M'$ , and take a red tree  $T_r$  such that  $V(T_r) \cap M = M_r = M - M' \neq \emptyset$ . We then assign that  $T_b$  covers  $N_1$  and  $T_r$  covers  $N_2$ . Next, for any vertex  $x \in N_0$  if there is a blue edge between  $x$  and  $M_b$ , then assign  $x$  to the blue tree  $T_b$ . Otherwise, all the edges between  $x$  and  $M_b$  are red. Since  $M_b = M'$  is not a red neighborhood for any of the vertices in  $N_0$ , there must be a red edge between  $x$  and  $M_r$ , and then assign  $x$  to the red tree  $T_r$ . In this way, every vertex of  $N_0$  is either connected to the blue tree  $T_b$  or the red tree  $T_r$ , and so the two vertex disjoint monochromatic trees  $T_b$  and  $T_r$  totally cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ .

**Subsubcase 2.2.2** Otherwise, for every proper nonempty subset  $M'$  of  $M$ , there is a class  $N_{0i}$  such that every vertex of  $N_{0i}$  has a red neighborhood equal to  $M'$ . Choose a proper nonempty subset  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $M'$  corresponds to a class  $N_{0k}$  that has minimum size. Then, take a blue tree  $T_b$  such that  $V(T_b) \cap M = M_b = M'$ , and take a red tree  $T_r$  such that

$V(T_r) \cap M = M_r = M - M' \neq \emptyset$ . So,  $T_b$  covers  $N_1$  and  $T_r$  covers  $N_2$ . For any vertex  $x \in N_0 - N_{0k}$ , if there is a blue edge between  $x$  and  $M_b$ , then assign  $x$  to the blue tree  $T_b$ . Otherwise, all the edges between  $x$  and  $M_b$  are red. Since  $x \notin N_{0k}$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma_r(x) \neq M_b (= M')$ , there must be a red edge between  $x$  and  $M_r$ , and then assign  $x$  to the red tree  $T_r$ . In this way, every vertex of  $N_0 - N_{0k}$  is either connected to the blue tree  $T_b$  or the red tree  $T_r$ , and so the two monochromatic trees  $T_b$  and  $T_r$  together with the vertices of  $N_{0k}$  form a vertex disjoint cover of the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ . We claim that this is a monochromatic partition with minimum size. In fact, since we want to find a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size satisfying property (b), which cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ , there must be two monochromatic red and blue trees  $T_r$  and  $T_b$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  which totally cover the sets  $N_2$  and  $N_1$ , respectively. Since every vertex of  $M$  is incident to both red and blue edges, every vertex of  $N_1$  is incident to only blue edges and every vertex of  $N_2$  is incident to only red edges, because of the minimality of  $\mathcal{P}$  we have that  $T_r$  union  $T_b$  totally covers the set  $M$ . Let  $M_r \subset V(T_r)$  and  $M_b \subset V(T_b)$  such that  $M = M_r \cup M_b$ ,  $M_r \neq \emptyset$ ,  $M_b \neq \emptyset$  and  $M_r \cap M_b = \emptyset$ . Then any tree of  $\mathcal{P}$  other than  $T_r$  and  $T_b$ , if there exists, must be a single vertex of  $N_0$ , moreover, every edge between the single vertex and  $M_b$  is red and every edge between the single vertex and  $M_r$  is blue, that is, the red neighborhood of the single vertex is  $M_b$ . Any vertex in  $N_0$  other than this kind of single vertices does not have this property. Denote these single vertices, if there exist, by a set  $S$ . Then  $S$  has the property that any two vertices in  $S$  have the same red neighborhood  $M_b$ , and any vertex of  $N_0$  that has this property must belong to  $S$ , i.e., there is a class  $N_{0i}$  such that  $N_{0i} = S$ . Because of the minimality of  $N_{0k}$ , we have that  $|N_{0i}| \geq |N_{0k}|$ , and the claim is thus proved. Finally, we claim that under the assumption of this subsubcase,  $S$  cannot be empty. Otherwise, the two monochromatic trees  $T_r$  and  $T_b$  cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ . Then, consider the proper nonempty subset  $M' = V(T_b) \cap M$  of  $M$ .  $M'$  is not a red neighborhood for any of the vertices in  $N_0$ . Otherwise, say that  $x$  has the red neighborhood equal to  $M'$ . Then,  $x$  cannot be assigned to any of the two trees  $T_r$  and  $T_b$ , which contradicts to that the two trees cover the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ .

We claim that both Subsubcases 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 can be done in polynomial time. In fact, to find the equivalent classes can be done in polynomial time, since this only involves checking whether the red neighborhoods of two vertices in  $N_0$  are the same. Next, choose  $t$  proper nonempty subsets of  $M$  randomly or in the following way: generating the  $k$ -subsets of  $M$  one by one for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . As soon as a  $k$ -subset is generated, we compare it with every  $\Gamma_r(x_{0i}) (\subset M)$  corresponding to the equivalent class  $N_{0i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , to check whether they are equal. By at most  $t^2$  such comparisons, we can decide whether there is a proper nonempty subset  $M'$  of  $M$  such that  $M'$  is not a red neighborhood for any of the vertices in  $N_0$ . If yes, we have Subsub-

case 2.2.1, i.e., there are two monochromatic trees  $T_b$  and  $T_r$  partition the vertex set of  $K(M, N)$ , and this partition can be obtained from the subset  $M'$  by the way in the proof of Subsubcase 2.2.1. If not, we have Subsubcase 2.2.2, and it can be done in polynomial time to find a class  $N_{0k}$  among the classes  $N_{0i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , such that  $N_{0k}$  has the minimum size. To check whether such a subset  $M'$  exists can also be done in polynomial time, because this only involves  $t^2$  comparisons of two subsets of  $M$ . This is upper bounded by  $t^2m^2$ , which is a very rough estimation. Since  $t < n$ , we have that  $t^2m^2 < (mn)^2$ . Obviously, Case 1 and the other subcases of Case 2 can also be done in polynomial time. Therefore, there is an algorithm of polynomial time to solve the 2-PGMT problem for complete bipartite graphs. The proof is now complete. ■

The authors of [14] proved that, if the edges of a complete  $k$ -partite graph,  $k \geq 3$ , are colored red or blue in such a way that at least one red and one blue edge are incident with every vertex, then it contains a monochromatic spanning tree. For a complete bipartite graph, from the above proof we can see that if its every vertex is incident with both some red and blue edges, then its vertex set can be partitioned into at most two vertex disjoint monochromatic trees. In general, for complete multipartite graphs, we can employ a similar proof to that of Theorem 3.3 to get the following result. For convenience of reading, we give part of its proof in detail.

**Theorem 3.4** *The 2-PGMT problem can be solved in polynomial time for complete multipartite graphs.*

*Proof.* Let  $G = K(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , be a complete  $k$ -partite graph with edge-colored by red and blue colors. We distinguish the following cases.

**Case 1** Every vertex is incident to both red and blue edges, then, from the result of [14], we know that  $G$  contains a monochromatic spanning tree, and so one monochromatic tree can cover the vertex set of  $G$ .

**Case 2** Otherwise, there are some vertices that are incident with only red or blue edges. Denote  $N_r = \{x \in V(G) : \text{every edge incident to } x \text{ is red}\}$  and  $N_b = \{x \in V(G) : \text{every edge incident to } x \text{ is blue}\}$ . From the assumption, without loss of generality we can assume that  $N_r \neq \emptyset$ . We distinguish the following subcases.

**Subcase 2.1** If  $N_b = \emptyset$ , then every vertex is incident to at least one red edge. No matter whether  $N_r$  is contained in the same partite of  $G$ , it is easy to see that  $G$  contains a red spanning tree.

**Subcase 2.2** Otherwise,  $N_b \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly, both  $N_r$  and  $N_b$  are contained in the same partite of  $G$ , without loss of generality, say in  $V_1$ . Let  $N_0 =$

$V_1 - N_r - N_b$ . Then every vertex of  $N_0$  is incident to both some red and blue edges. This implies that  $V(G) - N_b$  and  $V(G) - N_r$  can be spanned by a red and blue tree, respectively. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size, which cover the vertex set of  $G$ . If there is a monochromatic tree in  $\mathcal{P}$  containing all the vertices of  $V(G) - V_1$ , then because of the minimality of  $\mathcal{P}$  this tree must cover and only covers one of the sets  $V_r$  and  $V_b$ . Otherwise, there must be two monochromatic trees in  $\mathcal{P}$ , one red and the other blue, and then because of the minimality of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $N_r$  must be covered by the red tree, and  $N_b$  must be covered by the blue tree. So, in any case we know that  $\mathcal{P}$  must satisfy exactly one of the following properties:

- (a) Only one of the sets  $N_r$  and  $N_b$  is covered by a monochromatic tree, and every vertex of the other set forms a tree in  $\mathcal{P}$ . In this case,  $V(G) - V_1$  is totally covered by the monochromatic tree.
- (b) Both  $N_r$  and  $N_b$  is respectively covered by a monochromatic tree in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

In order to find a set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size to cover the vertex set of  $G$ , we first try to find two sets of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size, which respectively satisfies property (a) and (b). Clearly, among these two sets, the one with minimum size is, what we want, the set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size which cover the vertex set of  $G$ . Clearly, the set with minimum size satisfying property (a) is trivial. By employing a similar proof to that of Theorem 3.3, we can find the set of vertex disjoint monochromatic trees with minimum size satisfying property (b) in polynomial time. The rest of the proof is omitted. ■

**Acknowledgement.** The author X. Li would like to thank the NSFC and JSPS for financial support.

## References

- [1] A. Brandstadt, Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques, *Discrete Math.* 152(1996), 47-54.
- [2] H. Enomoto, Graph partition problems into cycles and paths, *Discrete Math.* 233(2001), 93-101.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. Gyárfás and L. Pyber, Vertex coverings by monochromatic cycles and trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser.B* 51(1991), 90-95.
- [4] T. Feder, P. Hell, S. Klein and R. Motwani, Complexity of graph partition problems, *Proceedings of Thirty-First Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC'99*, 464-472.

- [5] T. Feder and R. Motwani, Clique partitions, graph compression and Speeding-Up algorithms, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* 51(1995), 261-272.
- [6] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.
- [7] M.C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [8] A. Gyárfás, Vertex coverings by monochromatic paths and cycles, *J. Graph Theory* 7(1983), 131-135.
- [9] P.E. Haxell, Partitioning complete bipartite graphs by monochromatic cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 69(1997), 210-218.
- [10] P.E. Haxell and Y. Kohayakawa, Partitioning by monochromatic trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 68(1996), 218-222.
- [11] L. Holyer, The NP-completeness of some edge-partition problems, *SIAM J. Comput.* 10(1981), 713-717.
- [12] Zemin Jin and Xueliang Li, The complexity for partitioning graphs by monochromatic trees, cycles and paths, Submitted.
- [13] Zemin Jin and Xueliang Li, Partitioning edge-colored graphs by monochromatic subgraphs, Submitted.
- [14] A. Kaneko, M. Kano and K. Suzuki, Partitioning complete multipartite graphs by monochromatic trees, to appear.
- [15] G. MacGillivray and M.L. Yu, Generalized partitions of graphs, *Discrete Applied Math.* 91(1999), 143-153.