

NOTE

[a,b]-FACTORS OF GRAPHS

Mikio KANO

Department of Mathematics, Akashi Technological College, Uozumi, Akashi, 674, Japan

Akira SAITO

Department of Information Science, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113, Japan

Abstract

For integers a and b such that $0 \leq a \leq b$, a graph G is called an $[a, b]$ -graph if $a \leq d_G(x) \leq b$ for every vertex x of G and a factor F of a graph is called an $[a, b]$ -factor if $a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$ for every vertex x of F . We prove the following theorems. Let $0 \leq l \leq k \leq r$, $0 \leq s$, $0 \leq u$ and $1 \leq t$. Then an $[r, r + s]$ -graph has a $[k, k + t]$ -factor if $ks \leq rt$. Moreover, if $(k - l)s + (r - k)u \leq (r - 1)t$, then an $[r, r + s]$ -graph has a $[k, k + t]$ -factor which contains a given $[l, l + u]$ -factor.

We consider finite graphs which may have loops and multiple edges. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a vertex x of a subgraph H of G , we write $d_H(x)$ for the degree of x in H . In particular, $d_G(x)$ is the degree of x . Let a and b be integers such that $0 \leq a \leq b$. Then G is called an $[a, b]$ -graph if $a \leq d_G(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in G$. Similarly, a spanning subgraph F of a graph G is called an $[a, b]$ -factor of G if $a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in G$. Let g and f be integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in G$. Then a (g, f) -factor of G is a spanning subgraph F such that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in G$. For a subset S of $V(G)$, we write $G - S$ for the subgraph G obtained from G by deleting the vertices in S together with edges incident to vertices in S . If S and T are disjoint subsets of $V(G)$, then $e_G(S, T)$ denotes the number of edges joining S and T .

Tutte[4] has shown that an r -regular graph (i.e. an $[r, r]$ -graph) has a $[k, k + 1]$ -factor for every k satisfying $0 \leq k \leq r$. Recently, Thomassen[3] gave a simple elegant proof to the following theorem, which is an extension of the above theorem: an $[r, r + 1]$ -graph has a $[k, k + 1]$ -factor for every k satisfying $0 \leq k \leq r$. In this paper we shall first prove the next result which is an extension of the theorems mentioned above.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a graph and θ be a real number such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Suppose two integer*

valued functions g and f defined on $V(G)$ satisfy

$$g(x) < f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) \leq \theta d_G(x) \leq f(x) \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in V(G)$. Then G has a (g, f) -factor.

Note that when $g(x) \leq f(x)$ instead of $g(x) < f(x)$, the sufficient condition, which is similar to Theorem 1, for the existence of a (g, f) -factor is rather complicated [2]. If G is an $[r, r + s]$ -graph and $ks \leq rt$, then (1) in Theorem 1 holds for $g(x) \equiv k$, $f(x) \equiv k + t$ and $\theta = \frac{k}{r}$, and we obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq r$, $0 \leq s$ and $1 \leq t$. If $ks \leq rt$, then an $[r, r + s]$ -graph has a $[k, k + 1]$ -factor.*

In the proof of Theorem 1, we shall use the following (g, f) -factor theorem due to Lovász, to which Tutte [5] gave a short proof by using his f -factor theorem.

Lemma (Lovasz [1], [5, Theorem 7.2]). *Let G be a graph and g and f be integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Then G has a (g, f) -factor if and only if*

$$\sum_{t \in T} d_G(t) - \sum_{t \in T} g(t) + \sum_{s \in S} f(s) - e_G(S, T) - h(S, T) \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

for all disjoint subset S and T of $V(G)$, where $h(S, T)$ is the number of components C of $G - (S \cup T)$ such that $g(c) = f(c)$ for all $c \in V(C)$ and

$$e_G(T, V(C)) + \sum_{c \in V(C)} f(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Note that the condition $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x) \leq d_G(x)$ in [1], [5] can be replaced by $g(x) \leq f(x)$ as in the theorem. Furthermore, if $g(x) < f(x)$ for every vertex x , then $h(S, T) = 0$ and so the condition (2) becomes simple.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $S, T \subset V(G)$, $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Then $h(S, T) = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in T} d_G(t) - \sum_{t \in T} g(t) + \sum_{s \in S} f(s) - e_G(S, T) \\ & \geq (1 - \theta) \sum_{t \in T} d_G(t) - \theta \sum_{s \in S} f(s) - e_G(S, T) \\ & \geq (1 - \theta) e_G(T, S) - \theta e_G(S, T) - e_G(S, T) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2) holds and we conclude that G has a (g, f) -factor. \square

We remark that the condition in corollary 1 is best possible.

Remark. The complete bipartite graph $K_{r,r+s}$ does not have a $[k, k+1]$ -factor for any k, t such that $0 \leq k \leq r, 1 \leq t$ and $ks \geq rt$.

Proof. Let G denote the complete bipartite graph $K_{r,r+s}$. Set S and T be the partite sets of G such that $|S| = r$ and $|T| = r+s$, and put $g \equiv k$ and $f \equiv k+t$. Then

$$\sum_{t \in T} d_G(t) - \sum_{t \in T} g(t) + \sum_{s \in S} f(s) - e_G(S, T) - h(S, T) = r(r+s) - k(r+s) + (k+t)r - r(r+s) = rt - ks < 0.$$

Then G does not have a $[k, k+t]$ -factor. \square

We next give a sufficient condition for a graph to have a factor which contains a given graph.

Theorem 2. Let $0 \leq l \leq k \leq r, l+u \leq k+l \leq r+s, r \neq l, r+s \neq l+u, 0 \leq s, 0 \leq u$ and $1 \leq t$. Let G be an $[r, r+s]$ -graph and H be an $[l, l+u]$ -factor of G . If

$$(l-k)s + (k-r)u + (r-l)t \geq 0 \tag{3}$$

then G has a $[k, k+1]$ -factor which H as a graph.

If $s = u = t$, then (3) follows, and so we obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $0 \leq l \leq k \leq r$ and $1 \leq t$. Then an $[r, r+t]$ -graph has a $[k, k+t]$ -factor which contains a given $[l, l+t]$ -factor.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be an $[r, r+1]$ -graph and H be an $[l, l+t]$ -factor of G . Let $G' = G - E(H)$ and g and f be functions from $V(G')$ into the set of integers defined by

$$g(x) = k - d_H(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = k + t - d_H(x)$$

for all $x \in V(G')$. If G' has a (g, f) -factor F , then $F + E(H)$ is a desired $[k, k+t]$ -factor. Put

$$\theta = \frac{k-l}{r-l} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{k+l-l-u}{r+s-l-u}$$

We shall show that G', g, f and θ satisfy (1) in Theorem 1. It is clear that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $g(x) < f(x)$ for all $x \in V(G')$. Since

$$k = \theta r + (1-\theta) \leq \theta d_{G'}(x) + (1-\theta)d_H(x) \quad \text{and} \quad d_G(x) = d_{G'}(x) - d_H(x),$$

we have $g(x) \leq \theta d_{G'}(x)$. Similarly, we have $f(x) \geq \lambda d_{G'}(x)$ as

$$k+t = \lambda(r+s) + (1-\lambda)(l+u) \geq \lambda d_{G'}(x) + (1-\lambda)d_H(x).$$

By (3), we obtain $\lambda \geq \theta$ and so $f(x) \geq \theta d_{G^r}(x)$. Consequently, (1) holds and the theorem follows. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Professor Hikoe Enomoto and Professor Yoshimi Egawa for their helpful discussion and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] L.Lovász, Subgraph with prescribed valencies, J.Combin.Theory 8(1970) 391-416.
- [2] M.Kano, $[a, b]$ -factorization of a graph, to appear.
- [3] C.Thomassen, A remark on the factor theorems of Lovász and Tutte, J.Graph theory 8(1981) 441-441.
- [4] W.T.Tutte, The subgraph problem, Annals Discrete Math. 3(1978) 289-295.
- [5] W.T.Tutte, Graph factors, Combinatorica 1(1981) 79-97.